




Soham Village College

Exam Malpractice

Version:	1.0
Author:	Exams Officer
Approved by:	Advisory Body
Date:	Summer 2026
Review date:	Spring 2027

Centre name	Soham Village College
Centre number	22171
Date policy first created	24/02/2025
Current policy approved by	Advisory Body
Current policy reviewed by	Edward Slingsby
Date of review	May 2026
Date of next review	Summer 2027

Key staff involved in the policy

Role	Name
Head of Centre	Jon Hampson
Senior leader(s)	Edward Slingsby - Deputy Headteacher with responsibility for exams
Exams officer	Nicola Botcher
Other staff (if applicable)	

This policy is reviewed and updated annually to ensure that any malpractice at Soham Village College is managed in accordance with current requirements and regulations.

Reference in the policy to **GR** and **SMPP** relate to relevant sections of the current JCQ documents **General Regulations for Approved Centres** and **Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures**.

Contents

Introduction	4
What is malpractice and maladministration?.....	4
Candidate malpractice.....	4
Centre staff malpractice.....	4
Suspected malpractice	4
Purpose of the policy	4
General principles	5
Preventing malpractice	5
Informing and advising candidates how to avoid committing malpractice in examinations/assessments ..	6
AI use in assessments	6
External Written Exams	6
Computer Science Onscreen Exam.....	6
Identification and reporting of malpractice	7
Escalating suspected malpractice issues	7
Reporting suspected malpractice to the awarding body	7
Communicating malpractice decisions	8
Appeals against decisions made in cases of malpractice	8
Changes 2024/2025.....	8
Centre-specific changes	Error! Bookmark not defined.

Introduction

What is malpractice and maladministration?

'Malpractice' and 'maladministration' are related concepts, the common theme being that they involve a failure to follow the rules of an examination or assessment. This policy and procedure uses the word 'malpractice' to cover both 'malpractice' and 'maladministration' and it means any act, default or practice which is:

- a breach of the Regulations, and/or
- a breach of awarding body requirements regarding how a qualification should be delivered, and/or
- a failure to follow established procedures in relation to a qualification

which:

- gives rise to prejudice to candidates, and/or
- compromises public confidence in qualifications, and/or
- compromises, attempts to compromise or may compromise the process of assessment, the integrity of any qualification or the validity of a result or certificate, and/or
- damages the authority, reputation or credibility of any awarding body or centre or any officer, employee or agent of any awarding body or centre (SMPP 1)

Candidate malpractice

'Candidate malpractice' normally involves malpractice by a candidate in connection with any examination or assessment, including the preparation and authentication of any controlled assessments, coursework or non-examination assessments, the presentation of any practical work, the compilation of portfolios of assessment evidence and the completion of any examination. (SMPP 2)

Centre staff malpractice

'Centre staff malpractice' means malpractice committed by:

- a member of staff, contractor (whether employed under a contract of employment or a contract for services) or a volunteer at a centre, or
- an individual appointed in another capacity by a centre such as an invigilator, a Communication Professional, a Language Modifier, a practical assistant, a prompter, a reader or a scribe (SMPP 2)

Suspected malpractice

For the purposes of this document, suspected malpractice means all alleged or suspected incidents of malpractice (regardless of how the incident might be categorised, as described in SMPP, section 19). (SMPP 2)

Purpose of the policy

To confirm Soham Village College:

- has in place for inspection that must be reviewed and updated annually, a written malpractice policy

which covers all qualifications delivered by the centre detailing how candidates are informed and advised to avoid committing malpractice in examinations/assessments, how suspected malpractice issues should be escalated within the centre and reported to the relevant awarding body; it must also acknowledge the use of AI (e.g. what AI is, when it may be used and how it should be acknowledged, the risks of using AI, what AI misuse is and how this will be treated as malpractice) (GR 5.3)

General principles

In accordance with the regulations Soham Village College will:

- take all reasonable steps to prevent the occurrence of any malpractice (which includes maladministration) before, during and after assessments have taken place (GR 5.11)
- inform the awarding body **immediately** of any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice or maladministration, involving a candidate or a member of staff, by completing the appropriate documentation (GR 5.11)
- as required by an awarding body, gather evidence of any instances of alleged or suspected malpractice (which includes maladministration) in accordance with the current JCQ document **Suspected Malpractice - Policies and Procedures** and provide such information and advice as the awarding body may reasonably require (GR 5.11)

Preventing malpractice

Soham Village College has in place:

- Robust processes to prevent and identify malpractice, as outlined in section 3 of the JCQ document **Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures**. (SMPP 4.3)
- This includes ensuring that staff involved in the delivery of assessments and examinations understand the requirements for conducting these as specified in the following JCQ documents and any further awarding body guidance:
 - General Regulations for Approved Centres 2024-2-25
 - Instructions for conducting examinations (ICE) 2024-2025
 - Instructions for conducting coursework 2024-2025
 - Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments 2024-2025
 - Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments 2024-2025
 - A guide to the special consideration process 2024-2025
 - Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures 2024-2025 (this document)
 - Plagiarism in Assessments
 - AI Use in Assessments: Protecting the Integrity of Qualifications
 - Post Results Services June 2024 and November 2024
 - A guide to the awarding bodies' appeals processes 2024-2025

(SMPP 3.3.1)

Additional information:

Not applicable.

Informing and advising candidates how to avoid committing malpractice in examinations/assessments

Candidates are advised in writing in the A-Z Guide to Exams available on the school website and on their provisional and final timetables to read the JCQ Information for Candidates documents which are listed within this guide and also available on the school website. Candidates are also advised in writing in this Guide of their expected conduct in examinations and assessments and the importance of not committing malpractice. Candidates are reminded verbally of their expected conduct and the potential consequences of committing malpractice by a member of SLT before each examination and on regular occasions throughout the academic year in assemblies delivered by the Head of Year and/or a member of SLT.

Artificial Intelligence (AI): Candidates are informed about the use of AI, and what constitutes AI misuse, and that AI misuse constitutes malpractice in assemblies and by their subject teachers. According to JCQ examples of AI misuse include, but are not limited to:

- Copying or paraphrasing sections of AI generated content so that the work submitted is no longer the candidate's own
- Copying or paraphrasing whole responses of AI generated content
- Using AI to complete parts of the assessment so that the work does not reflect the candidate's own work, analysis, evaluation or calculations
- Failing to acknowledge the use of AI tools when they have been used as a source of information
- Incomplete or poor acknowledgement of AI tools
- Submitting work with intentionally incomplete or misleading references or bibliographies

AI use in assessments

External Written Exams

Candidates who complete their external written exams using a word processor do so on an exams laptop which has internet capability switched off so they are unable to access the internet/AI tools during their exam. Candidates are issued with a school USB device at the beginning of the exam on which they save their work. The USB device is cleared once the candidate's work has been printed and signed. Only IT equipment checked and issued by the IT department for use in exams only, is permitted within the exam venue.

Computer Science Onscreen Exam

Candidates taking Edexcel Computer Science complete their Paper 2: Application of Computational Thinking (1CP2/02) onscreen exam in the computer rooms. The IT department prepare the set-up of the computers in advance of the onscreen exam in accordance with the Instructions for the Conduct of the Examination (ICE) for Pearson Edexcel Level 1/2 GCSE Computer Science Paper 2 to ensure each candidate has access to their secure user area and has no access to the internet/AI tools. Candidates are not permitted access to USB memory sticks or other storage devices in the computer rooms.

Coursework / NEA

Staff receive training on AI use in assessments in accordance with current JCQ regulations (JCQ AI Use in Assessments: Protecting the Integrity of Qualifications) ensuring they are also familiar with the JCQ document Plagiarism in Assessments: Guidance for Teachers/Assessors. Candidates suspected of using AI to complete coursework or a non-examined assessment will be reported by the subject teacher to the Deputy Headteacher with responsibility for exams.

Identification and reporting of malpractice

Escalating suspected malpractice issues

Once suspected malpractice is identified, any member of staff at the centre can report it using the appropriate channels. (SMPP 4.3)

Any suspected incidences of malpractice occurring during an external written exam will be reported to the Exams Officer who will, in turn, report the incident to the Deputy Head with responsibility for exams.

Any suspected incidences of malpractice occurring during the completion by the candidate of coursework or a non-examined assessment will be reported by the subject teacher to the Deputy Head with responsibility for exams.

Reporting suspected malpractice to the awarding body

- The head of centre will notify the appropriate awarding body immediately of all alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice, using the appropriate forms, and will conduct any investigation and gathering of information in accordance with the requirements of the JCQ document **Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures** (SMPP 4.1.3)
- The head of centre will ensure that, where a candidate is a child or an adult at risk and is the subject of a malpractice investigation, the candidate's parent/carers/appropriate adult is kept informed of the progress of the investigation (SMPP 4.1.3)
- Form JCQ/M1 will be used to notify an awarding body of an incident of candidate malpractice. Form JCQ/M2 will be used to notify an awarding body of an incident of suspected staff malpractice/maladministration (SMPP 4.4, 4.6)
- Malpractice by a candidate discovered in a controlled assessment, coursework or non-examination assessment component prior to the candidate signing the declaration of authentication does not need to be reported to the awarding body but will be dealt with in accordance with the centre's internal procedures. The only exception to this is where the awarding body's confidential assessment material has potentially been breached. The breach will be reported to the awarding body immediately (SMPP 4.5)
- If, in the view of the investigator, there is sufficient evidence to implicate an individual in malpractice, that individual (the candidate or the member of staff) will be informed of the rights of accused individuals (SMPP 5.33)
- Once the information gathering has concluded, the head of centre (or other appointed information-gatherer) will submit a written report summarising the information obtained and actions taken to the relevant awarding body, accompanied by the information obtained during the

course of their enquiries (5.35)

- Form JCQ/M1 will be used when reporting candidate cases; for centre staff, form JCQ/M3 will be used (SMPP 5.37)
- The awarding body will decide on the basis of the report, and any supporting documentation, whether there is evidence of malpractice and if any further investigation is required. The head of centre will be informed accordingly (SMPP 5.40)

Additional information:

Not applicable.

Communicating malpractice decisions

Once a decision has been made, it will be communicated in writing to the head of centre as soon as possible. The head of centre will communicate the decision to the individuals concerned and pass on details of any sanctions and action in cases where this is indicated. The head of centre will also inform the individuals if they have the right to appeal. (SMPP 11.1)

Additional information:

Not applicable.

Appeals against decisions made in cases of malpractice

Soham Village College will:

- Provide the individual with information on the process and timeframe for submitting an appeal, where relevant
- Refer to further information and follow the process provided in the JCQ document **A guide to the awarding bodies' appeals processes**

Additional information:

Not applicable.

Changes 2024/2025

Under headings **What is malpractice**, **Candidate malpractice**, **Suspected Malpractice** amended to reflect slight wording changes in SMPP.

Under heading **Purpose of the policy**: To confirm Soham Village College: has in place a written malpractice policy which covers all qualifications delivered by the centre and details how candidates are informed and advised to avoid committing malpractice in examinations/assessments, how suspected malpractice issues should be escalated within the centre and reported to the relevant awarding body

(Amended to reflect the change in GR 5.3) To confirm Soham Village College: has in place for inspection that must be reviewed and updated annually, a written malpractice policy which covers all qualifications delivered by the centre detailing how candidates are informed and advised to avoid committing malpractice in examinations/assessments, how suspected malpractice issues should be

escalated within the centre and reported to the relevant awarding body; it must also acknowledge the use of AI (e.g. what AI is, when it may be used and how it should be acknowledged, the risks of using AI, what AI misuse is and how this will be treated as malpractice)

Under heading **General Principles**, bullet point amended to reflect the change in GR 5.11: take all reasonable steps to prevent the occurrence of any malpractice (which includes maladministration) before, during and after assessments have taken place

Under heading **Preventing Malpractice**: Updated the list of JCQ documents.

Under the heading **Informing and advising candidates how to avoid committing malpractice in examinations/assessments** updated the prompt in the insert field to: Detail the process in your centre which confirms how, when and by whom candidates are informed and advised to avoid committing malpractice in examinations/assessments. Describe the process and also acknowledge the use of AI (e.g. what AI is, when it may be used and how it should be acknowledged, the risks of using AI, what AI misuse is and how this will be treated as malpractice). Confirm when this takes place and include the name(s) and/or role(s) of those staff involved in briefing candidates.